

# BARRIERS TO MARKET ENTRY AND STRATEGIES FOR SUCCESS: A STUDY ON SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES (SMES) IN GHANA AND TANZANIA

**Katarina Mwamba**

St John's University of Tanzania

Email: [katarina.mwamba@gmail.com](mailto:katarina.mwamba@gmail.com)

## Abstract

Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) play a pivotal role in the economic development of emerging economies, yet they face substantial barriers to market entry that can impede their growth and sustainability. This study aims to analyze the major barriers to market entry encountered by SMEs in Ghana and Tanzania and explore the strategic approaches adopted to overcome these challenges. Utilizing a comprehensive literature review, this research synthesizes existing knowledge on entry barriers such as regulatory constraints, access to finance, market competition, and infrastructure deficits. The findings indicate that while both countries face similar obstacles, the intensity and impact of these barriers vary due to distinct economic and policy environments. Moreover, the study identifies successful strategies, including innovation, networking, and capacity building, that SMEs employ to navigate these challenges. By highlighting these barriers and strategies, this study contributes to a deeper understanding of the SME landscape in Ghana and Tanzania, offering insights for policymakers and practitioners to foster a more supportive business environment. The research emphasizes the need for targeted policy interventions to reduce entry barriers and enhance the competitive capabilities of SMEs. Future research should further investigate the dynamic interactions between these barriers and strategies across different sectors.

**Keywords:** *SMEs, Market Entry Barriers, Strategic Approaches, Ghana, Tanzania.*

## A. INTRODUCTIONS

Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) are crucial drivers of economic growth and poverty alleviation in developing countries, contributing significantly to employment creation, Gross Domestic Product (GDP), and economic diversification (Agyapong, 2010). In Africa, SMEs constitute more than 90% of businesses and employ about 60% of the labor force, highlighting their importance in economic development (Abor & Quartey, 2010). In Ghana and Tanzania, SMEs have become pivotal in fostering inclusive growth and reducing poverty levels, yet they are plagued by numerous challenges that inhibit their growth potential (Quaye et al., 2014). Ghana, characterized by its relatively stable political environment and strategic economic policies, has seen a surge in SME development, although structural and systemic

issues persist (Aryeetey & Baah-Boateng, 2016). Tanzania, on the other hand, with its emerging market and ongoing economic reforms, has attempted to bolster SMEs through supportive policies, but issues such as inadequate infrastructure, access to finance, and complex regulatory frameworks remain critical barriers (Mfaume & Leonard, 2016). SMEs in both countries face multifaceted challenges that stem from their operating environments, such as market volatility, political instability, and economic unpredictability (Kayanula & Quartey, 2000). Studies have shown that regulatory and institutional constraints, particularly bureaucratic inefficiencies, licensing, and tax policies, impede the market entry of SMEs and affect their ability to compete effectively (Masumbuko, 2017; Kamau et al., 2018). Limited access to finance is another significant barrier, as SMEs often struggle to secure funding due to high-interest rates, collateral requirements, and stringent credit terms imposed by financial institutions (Mensah, 2004). Furthermore, inadequate infrastructure, such as unreliable electricity supply and poor transportation networks, poses substantial operational costs and hinders market access (World Bank, 2020). The economic landscape in Ghana and Tanzania is further complicated by market competition from large enterprises and multinational companies, which enjoy better resources and market access (Ihua, 2009). Additionally, the low level of technological adoption among SMEs affects their productivity and limits their ability to innovate and scale operations (Mashenene, 2015). The constraints faced by SMEs are often interlinked, creating a complex web of challenges that require multifaceted strategies to address (Ngasongwa, 2002). Despite these challenges, the potential for SMEs to drive economic transformation in both countries is immense, necessitating a comprehensive analysis of entry barriers and effective strategies for success (Ayyagari et al., 2007).

Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) in Ghana and Tanzania face significant market entry barriers that hinder their potential for growth, competitiveness, and sustainability (Robson et al., 2009). Despite the critical role SMEs play in economic development, the regulatory environment often presents complex challenges that disproportionately affect smaller firms, leading to market failures and reduced economic dynamism (Beck et al., 2005). In Ghana, SMEs frequently encounter bureaucratic red tape, cumbersome licensing procedures, and high taxation, which limit their operational efficiency and ability to scale (Adjei, 2012). Similarly, in Tanzania, the regulatory framework is perceived as unpredictable and inconsistent, contributing to uncertainties that stifle entrepreneurial initiatives (Stevenson & St-Onge, 2005). Beyond regulatory constraints, both countries face critical infrastructural deficiencies such as unreliable electricity, poor road networks, and inadequate communication systems, which significantly increase transaction costs and limit access to both local and international markets (Fjeldstad et al., 2006). These infrastructural inadequacies further exacerbate the challenges related to supply chain management and market logistics, making it difficult for SMEs to maintain competitive advantage (Kinda & Loening, 2010). Access to finance remains another prominent barrier, where stringent collateral requirements, high-interest rates, and limited availability of credit constrain SMEs' ability to invest in growth and innovation

(Quartey et al., 2017). Many financial institutions perceive SMEs as high-risk entities, thereby reducing their willingness to extend credit (Satta, 2003). The lack of access to affordable financing options hinders SMEs' ability to upgrade technology, enter new markets, and enhance their productivity levels (Beck & Cull, 2014). Moreover, the competitive landscape in Ghana and Tanzania is dominated by larger, well-established firms and multinational corporations that benefit from economies of scale, better resources, and superior market access, creating high entry barriers for SMEs (Olomi, 2006). This market dominance reduces SMEs' market share and their ability to thrive within these economies (Mori, 2014). Therefore, understanding these multifaceted barriers is essential for developing effective strategies to support SMEs in overcoming these challenges and fostering a more inclusive economic growth trajectory in both countries (Abor & Biekpe, 2006).

This study holds significant relevance for both academic research and practical applications in the field of SME development and economic policy in emerging markets, particularly in Ghana and Tanzania. The challenges faced by SMEs are well-documented in the literature, yet there is a critical need for research that specifically addresses the unique regulatory, financial, infrastructural, and competitive barriers within the African context (Ihua, 2009). By focusing on Ghana and Tanzania, this study provides a comparative analysis that highlights the specific institutional and policy environments affecting SME growth, thereby filling a gap in existing research that often generalizes the African business environment without sufficient nuance (Klapper et al., 2006). Furthermore, the findings from this research are expected to inform policymakers about the effectiveness of current regulatory frameworks and the necessary reforms needed to foster a more conducive environment for SME development (Ayyagari et al., 2007). The study also contributes to the broader discourse on economic resilience by emphasizing the strategic responses of SMEs to market entry barriers, thereby providing practical insights for entrepreneurs on how to navigate these challenges (Kiggundu, 2002). For international development agencies and financial institutions, the insights generated from this research offer valuable information for designing targeted interventions that support SME growth through improved access to finance, better infrastructure, and capacity-building initiatives (Beck et al., 2008). Moreover, this study enhances academic understanding by integrating theories of institutional economics, strategic management, and entrepreneurship to explain the dynamic interplay between external barriers and internal strategies (North, 1990). Given the rapidly changing economic landscapes in Ghana and Tanzania, understanding these dynamics is crucial for building resilient and competitive SME sectors that can contribute to sustainable economic development (Liedholm & Mead, 1999). The study's significance thus lies not only in its contribution to academic literature but also in its practical implications for shaping policies and strategies that support SMEs as vital drivers of economic growth in emerging markets (Carpenter & Petersen, 2002).

The primary objective of this study is to comprehensively analyze the barriers to market entry faced by Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) in Ghana and

Tanzania and to explore the strategies they employ to overcome these obstacles and achieve sustainable growth. Understanding these entry barriers is crucial, as they directly affect the growth potential and competitive edge of SMEs within emerging economies (Tambunan, 2009). The study aims to contribute to the existing literature by providing a comparative analysis that elucidates how differing regulatory, economic, and cultural environments influence the operational dynamics of SMEs in both countries (Asiedu & Freeman, 2006). Given the multifaceted nature of market entry barriers, this research will focus on identifying key regulatory constraints, such as licensing procedures, tax policies, and legal frameworks, and how these affect SMEs' market penetration and expansion strategies (Fjeldstad & Heggstad, 2011). Additionally, the study will examine financial barriers, such as limited access to credit and high-interest rates, which continue to be significant impediments to SMEs' growth and sustainability (Mbwambo, 2015). Another important objective is to explore infrastructural challenges, including unreliable utilities and poor logistics networks, and how these affect SMEs' operational efficiency and market access (Moses & Linge, 2018). The study will also seek to identify successful strategies that SMEs in Ghana and Tanzania employ to overcome these barriers, such as leveraging local networks, adopting technological innovations, and engaging in policy advocacy to influence a more favorable business environment (Nkuah et al., 2013). By achieving these objectives, this research intends to provide actionable insights for policymakers, development agencies, and SME stakeholders to formulate more supportive policies and frameworks that enhance the ease of doing business and promote SME growth (Tushabomwe-Kazooba, 2006). This study's findings will not only expand academic understanding but also provide practical recommendations for overcoming systemic challenges faced by SMEs in emerging markets (Biggs & Shah, 2006). Furthermore, by highlighting the context-specific dynamics that SMEs navigate in Ghana and Tanzania, the study offers a nuanced perspective that is essential for tailoring interventions that foster entrepreneurship and economic resilience (Aryeetey & Ahene, 2004).

This study is guided by several research questions that aim to explore the multifaceted challenges faced by Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) in Ghana and Tanzania and the strategies they employ to navigate these barriers. The first research question seeks to identify the primary barriers to market entry faced by SMEs in both countries, focusing on regulatory, financial, infrastructural, and competitive factors (Abe et al., 2012). Previous studies indicate that regulatory hurdles, such as complex licensing procedures and bureaucratic inefficiencies, significantly impede the ease of starting and scaling businesses in these environments (Krasniqi, 2007). Hence, this study asks: What are the specific regulatory constraints affecting SMEs' market entry in Ghana and Tanzania? Another critical question addresses the issue of financial accessibility, a recurring theme in the literature, as SMEs often face prohibitive interest rates, lack of collateral, and limited access to formal credit (Beck & Demirguc-Kunt, 2006). Thus, the research aims to answer: How do financial barriers impact the growth prospects of SMEs in these countries? The third question centers around infrastructural challenges, such as inadequate transportation networks and unreliable

energy supplies, which have been documented as significant impediments to operational efficiency (Dinh et al., 2012). Consequently, the study inquires: What infrastructural challenges do SMEs face, and how do these affect their market competitiveness? Additionally, recognizing the competitive dynamics dominated by larger firms and multinationals, this study investigates: What are the competitive pressures experienced by SMEs, and how do they navigate these within the local and regional markets? (Sleuwaegen & Goedhuys, 2002). Lastly, the study seeks to understand the strategic responses of SMEs to these barriers, prompting the question: What strategies have proven effective for SMEs in overcoming market entry barriers in Ghana and Tanzania? This approach not only allows for a comprehensive understanding of the constraints faced by SMEs but also offers insights into potential policy interventions and strategic frameworks that can enhance the business environment for SMEs in emerging economies (Wiklund et al., 2009).

The structure of this paper is designed to systematically address the research objectives and questions, providing a comprehensive understanding of the barriers to market entry faced by SMEs in Ghana and Tanzania and the strategies they use to overcome these obstacles. Following this introduction, the second section presents a detailed Literature Review, which synthesizes existing studies on SMEs' market entry barriers, such as regulatory constraints, financial limitations, and infrastructural challenges, in the context of emerging economies. This section also identifies gaps in the literature that this study aims to fill, particularly focusing on the unique economic environments of Ghana and Tanzania (Beck & Cull, 2014; Muriithi, 2017). The third section, Methodology, explains the research design and methods used to gather and analyze data, including a robust review of secondary sources such as academic journals, policy papers, and reports from international development organizations (Yin, 2018). This section ensures that the research approach is transparent and replicable, thereby enhancing the study's reliability and validity (Bryman, 2016). The fourth section, Results, provides a comprehensive analysis of the data, highlighting the primary barriers identified and the effective strategies employed by SMEs in the study regions (Eifert et al., 2008). This is followed by the Discussion section, which interprets the findings in the context of existing theories and literature, offering a deeper understanding of how different external and internal factors interact to shape the business landscape for SMEs in these countries (Parker, 2009). The final section, Conclusion, summarizes the key findings, discusses the implications for policy and practice, and offers recommendations for future research, particularly in areas where knowledge gaps persist (Saunders et al., 2019). By organizing the paper in this manner, the study ensures a logical flow of information and facilitates a comprehensive analysis that contributes both to academic discourse and practical policy-making (Creswell & Poth, 2017).

## **B. METHOD**

This study employs a qualitative research design based on a comprehensive literature review to explore the barriers to market entry faced by Small and Medium

Enterprises (SMEs) in Ghana and Tanzania and the strategies they utilize to overcome these challenges. The research is grounded in secondary data collection from various academic sources, including peer-reviewed journal articles, policy papers, and reports from international organizations such as the World Bank, International Finance Corporation (IFC), and United Nations (UN) agencies. The selection of sources was guided by relevance to the research objectives, focusing on studies that specifically address market entry barriers, regulatory frameworks, financial access, and infrastructural constraints in emerging markets. Data analysis involved thematic content analysis, allowing for the identification of recurring themes and patterns related to regulatory constraints, financial barriers, infrastructural challenges, and competitive pressures faced by SMEs in these countries (Braun & Clarke, 2006). The comparative approach taken in this study facilitates an understanding of how the economic and regulatory environments in Ghana and Tanzania impact SMEs differently, thereby providing a nuanced analysis of context-specific barriers and strategies (Ragin, 2014). Furthermore, a systematic review approach was adopted to synthesize findings across multiple studies, ensuring a comprehensive examination of existing literature and highlighting key gaps that this study aims to fill (Snyder, 2019). The study's methodology also integrates a critical analysis of policy documents to assess the effectiveness of current regulatory frameworks and support mechanisms available to SMEs (Bowen, 2009). This approach enables a triangulation of findings, enhancing the validity and reliability of the research outcomes by cross-referencing data from diverse sources (Patton, 2002). By focusing on a broad range of scholarly and policy-oriented materials, the study provides a robust analysis of the barriers and strategic responses pertinent to SMEs in Ghana and Tanzania. The findings are presented in a structured manner to address the research questions, offering policy and strategic insights for stakeholders involved in SME development. Limitations related to the reliance on secondary data are acknowledged, and suggestions for future empirical research are provided to build upon this study's findings (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2017).

## C. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 1. Regulatory Barriers and Bureaucratic Constraints

The study reveals that regulatory barriers and bureaucratic constraints pose significant challenges to the market entry and growth of Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) in both Ghana and Tanzania. These regulatory barriers include complex licensing procedures that require multiple approvals and are often associated with high costs and long waiting periods, thereby delaying business operations. Additionally, the high taxation rates imposed on SMEs further exacerbate their financial burden, making it difficult for them to reinvest profits into their businesses. In Ghana, SMEs are particularly affected by inconsistent policy implementations and frequent changes in regulations, which create an unpredictable business environment and discourage long-term investment planning. Similarly, in Tanzania, the cumbersome registration processes and overlapping regulatory requirements create a

significant entry barrier for new and small businesses. Moreover, bureaucratic inefficiencies, such as corruption and lack of transparency within government agencies, are common issues that increase the cost of compliance for SMEs in both countries. SMEs often have to navigate through multiple layers of administrative procedures, which can be both time-consuming and costly. These bureaucratic constraints not only limit the entry of new SMEs into the market but also hinder the growth and expansion of existing businesses by diverting resources away from core business activities. The study also highlights that, in both Ghana and Tanzania, regulatory requirements often lack clarity and consistency, further complicating compliance for SMEs. Frequent changes in tax policies and licensing requirements without adequate stakeholder consultations lead to confusion and increased costs for SMEs. Additionally, the lack of a streamlined process for obtaining permits and licenses often forces SMEs to engage in informal negotiations, which can lead to unethical practices such as bribery. Both countries exhibit similar patterns of bureaucratic inefficiencies, which are identified as a major obstacle to the formalization and scaling of SMEs. As a result, many SMEs opt to remain in the informal sector to avoid the heavy regulatory burden, which, in turn, limits their access to formal financing and markets. The persistence of these regulatory and bureaucratic challenges suggests a pressing need for more transparent, consistent, and supportive regulatory frameworks to facilitate the growth and sustainability of SMEs in these emerging economies.

## **2. Financial Access Limitations**

The study identifies financial access limitations as a significant barrier to the growth and sustainability of Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) in both Ghana and Tanzania. One of the primary challenges highlighted is the high-interest rates imposed by financial institutions, which make borrowing prohibitively expensive for many SMEs. In both countries, commercial banks and other lending institutions are reluctant to provide loans to SMEs due to perceived high risks, resulting in limited access to credit. This reluctance is often driven by a lack of collateral among SMEs, which is a key requirement for securing loans from formal financial institutions. Furthermore, the study finds that the lack of tailored financial products for SMEs makes it difficult for them to access appropriate funding options that align with their business needs. SMEs in both Ghana and Tanzania often face stringent collateral requirements, which are unattainable for many small businesses, particularly those that are newly established or operating in rural areas. Additionally, the complex and lengthy loan application processes further deter SMEs from seeking formal financial support. The findings also indicate that there is a significant gap between the demand for finance and the supply of credit from traditional banking institutions. In Tanzania, for instance, many SMEs resort to informal lending channels, which often come with higher costs and less favorable terms compared to formal financial institutions. Similarly, in Ghana, microfinance institutions, which were expected to bridge the gap in SME financing, are often undercapitalized and unable to meet the growing demand.

The lack of financial literacy among SME owners further complicates their ability to navigate the financial landscape and access available resources. Additionally, bureaucratic red tape within financial institutions adds to the complexity, making the process cumbersome for SME operators. In both countries, the absence of innovative financing models, such as venture capital or angel investors, limits the opportunities for SMEs to secure growth capital. As a result, many SMEs face stagnation or slow growth due to insufficient capital to expand operations or invest in new technologies. This limitation in access to finance also affects their ability to scale, enhance productivity, and compete effectively in both local and regional markets. Furthermore, the high default rates reported by financial institutions create a cyclical problem, where the perceived risk of lending to SMEs continues to increase, further restricting access to credit. The findings underscore the critical need for more inclusive financial policies and instruments that are specifically tailored to the needs of SMEs in these economies.

### 3. Infrastructural Challenges

The study reveals that infrastructural challenges are a major barrier to the operations and growth of Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) in both Ghana and Tanzania. One of the primary issues identified is the unreliable electricity supply, which frequently disrupts business activities and increases operational costs for SMEs. In both countries, power outages are common and often require SMEs to invest in alternative power sources, such as generators, which add to their financial burden. Furthermore, poor road networks and inadequate transportation infrastructure significantly hinder the ability of SMEs to access markets, both locally and regionally. This limitation affects the timely delivery of goods and services, increases logistics costs, and reduces overall competitiveness in the market. Additionally, the study finds that limited access to reliable internet and digital infrastructure poses a challenge for SMEs, particularly in rural areas where connectivity is either poor or non-existent. This digital divide restricts SMEs from participating in the digital economy, leveraging e-commerce, and accessing online resources that could enhance their business operations. In Ghana, the lack of proper market facilities and storage systems is highlighted as a significant infrastructural challenge that affects SMEs in the agricultural and retail sectors. Similarly, in Tanzania, the lack of efficient transportation systems, such as rail and road networks, exacerbates the cost of moving goods across different regions, making it difficult for SMEs to scale their businesses. The findings also indicate that the poor state of public infrastructure, such as water supply and sanitation, impacts the productivity of SMEs, especially those involved in manufacturing and food processing. In addition, limited access to industrial zones and business parks equipped with necessary infrastructure further constrains SMEs from achieving economies of scale. SMEs often face difficulties in accessing affordable and suitable business premises due to a lack of planned commercial spaces in both urban and rural areas. The study also notes that inadequate infrastructure affects SMEs' ability to adopt modern technologies and innovate, which is essential for remaining



competitive in today's market. Furthermore, the absence of reliable transportation and logistics services in both countries makes it challenging for SMEs to establish and maintain supply chain networks. The lack of integrated infrastructure planning and investment in both Ghana and Tanzania leads to fragmented markets, where SMEs struggle to expand their customer base beyond their immediate geographical locations. Additionally, the limited availability of cold storage and preservation facilities affects SMEs involved in the perishables trade, resulting in high post-harvest losses. The findings emphasize the impact of these infrastructural challenges on the ability of SMEs to operate efficiently, expand their market reach, and achieve sustainable growth.

#### **4. Competitive Pressures from Larger Firms and Multinationals**

The study reveals that competitive pressures from larger firms and multinational corporations present significant challenges to Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) in both Ghana and Tanzania. These larger entities often benefit from economies of scale, enabling them to offer products and services at lower prices compared to SMEs, which struggle to match these competitive pricing strategies. Additionally, multinational corporations typically have better access to resources, including advanced technology, skilled labor, and substantial capital, giving them a distinct advantage over local SMEs. The presence of these large firms in the market creates a highly competitive environment where SMEs must fight for market share and customer loyalty. Moreover, larger firms often dominate the supply chains and distribution networks, making it difficult for SMEs to access essential raw materials and reach end consumers effectively. In both countries, the study finds that many SMEs face difficulties in negotiating favorable terms with suppliers and distributors due to their relatively smaller size and weaker bargaining power. The branding and marketing capabilities of multinational corporations also pose a significant challenge for SMEs, which often lack the financial resources and expertise to compete in terms of advertising and brand recognition. This disadvantage is further exacerbated by the ability of larger firms to leverage extensive market research and consumer data to tailor their products and services more precisely to customer needs. The study also notes that multinational corporations frequently benefit from preferential treatment in terms of regulatory compliance and access to government incentives, creating an uneven playing field for SMEs. In sectors such as manufacturing and retail, the presence of well-established foreign firms often limits the growth potential of local SMEs by capturing a significant portion of the market demand. Additionally, the ability of large firms to offer better employment packages and job security attracts skilled workers away from SMEs, leading to a talent drain that affects their operational efficiency and capacity for innovation. The findings indicate that the competitive pressure from these larger players forces SMEs to operate on very thin margins, limiting their ability to reinvest in business expansion and technological upgrades. The study also highlights that some SMEs, unable to cope with the intense competition, resort to operating informally or reducing their scale of operations to survive.

Furthermore, in both Ghana and Tanzania, the saturation of key urban markets by multinational corporations means that SMEs are often pushed to less lucrative rural markets, which are characterized by lower purchasing power and demand volatility. This situation results in constrained growth opportunities and limits the ability of SMEs to achieve sustainable profitability. The competitive dynamics observed in the study suggest that SMEs face continuous pressure to differentiate their products and services or find niche markets where they can operate with reduced competition.

### **5. Effective Strategies for Overcoming Barriers**

The study identifies several effective strategies that Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) in Ghana and Tanzania employ to overcome market entry barriers and navigate the challenging business environment. One of the key strategies observed is leveraging local networks and partnerships, which allows SMEs to access critical resources, share knowledge, and build trust within their communities. These networks often facilitate informal support systems where SMEs can gain market intelligence, collaborate on bulk purchasing to reduce costs, and enhance their bargaining power with suppliers and distributors. Another effective approach highlighted in the study is the adoption of cost-effective technologies that improve operational efficiency and reduce dependency on unreliable infrastructure. SMEs are increasingly turning to digital platforms to market their products, connect with customers, and manage business operations, particularly in areas with limited physical market access. The findings also show that SMEs engage in policy advocacy, often through business associations and local chambers of commerce, to influence more favorable business regulations and reduce bureaucratic red tape. In both Ghana and Tanzania, some SMEs have successfully lobbied for simplified licensing procedures and more transparent tax policies that reduce the cost of compliance. Additionally, the study indicates that SMEs are focusing on niche markets where they can differentiate themselves from larger competitors and avoid direct competition with multinational corporations. By offering specialized products and services that cater to local tastes and preferences, SMEs can build a loyal customer base and create a unique value proposition. The research also notes that capacity-building initiatives, such as training programs and workshops, are employed by SMEs to enhance their business skills, financial literacy, and technical expertise, enabling them to make better strategic decisions and adapt to market changes more effectively. Furthermore, the study finds that some SMEs are engaging in strategic alliances, mergers, and joint ventures as a way to pool resources, share risks, and expand their market reach. These collaborative efforts often result in enhanced competitiveness and access to new customer segments. In the face of financial barriers, many SMEs have adopted innovative financing models, such as crowdfunding, peer-to-peer lending, and rotating savings and credit associations, to mobilize capital without relying heavily on traditional banking institutions. The findings also highlight that SMEs are investing in brand development and customer engagement to build a strong market presence and counter the dominance of larger firms. By focusing on quality, customer service,

and local relevance, SMEs are able to carve out a distinct market space. Additionally, the ability to pivot and diversify business operations in response to changing market conditions is identified as a crucial strategy for resilience and long-term sustainability. These strategies collectively enable SMEs to mitigate some of the significant barriers they face, enhancing their capacity to survive and thrive in competitive markets.

## **6. Policy Implications and Need for Supportive Frameworks**

The study highlights the critical need for more supportive policy frameworks to address the various barriers faced by Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) in Ghana and Tanzania. One of the key findings is that current regulatory environments in both countries require significant reforms to reduce the administrative and bureaucratic burdens on SMEs, particularly in areas such as licensing, taxation, and business registration. The research shows that streamlining these processes and providing clearer guidelines can enhance the ease of doing business and encourage more SMEs to formalize their operations. In addition, there is a pressing need for financial policies that are more inclusive and tailored to the specific needs of SMEs, such as providing access to low-interest loans, credit guarantees, and alternative financing options. The findings suggest that financial institutions should be encouraged to develop products that consider the unique characteristics and challenges of SMEs, including flexible collateral requirements and longer repayment terms. Furthermore, the study identifies the importance of government investment in infrastructure development, such as improving road networks, electricity supply, and digital connectivity, to create a more conducive environment for SMEs to operate and grow. Enhanced infrastructure can reduce operational costs and increase market accessibility for SMEs, especially those in remote or underserved regions. Additionally, the research points to the need for capacity-building programs that provide SMEs with the necessary skills and knowledge to navigate complex regulatory landscapes and adapt to changing market conditions. These programs could include training in financial management, digital literacy, and strategic planning. The study also reveals that effective public-private partnerships can play a vital role in fostering an enabling environment for SMEs by facilitating dialogue between the government, private sector, and other stakeholders. Such collaboration can lead to more responsive policy-making that takes into account the needs and perspectives of SMEs. Moreover, there is an identified need for targeted interventions to support SMEs in specific sectors, such as agriculture, manufacturing, and services, where tailored policies can address unique challenges and enhance competitiveness. The findings also emphasize the importance of creating a level playing field for SMEs by eliminating preferential treatment for larger firms and multinational corporations, ensuring fair competition in the market. Lastly, the study suggests that continuous monitoring and evaluation of SME policies and programs are essential to identify gaps, measure impact, and adjust strategies as needed to support sustainable SME development. The overall implication is that a more integrated and supportive policy

framework is crucial for unlocking the full potential of SMEs in both Ghana and Tanzania, driving economic growth and job creation.

The finding that regulatory barriers and bureaucratic constraints significantly hinder the market entry and growth of Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) in Ghana and Tanzania aligns with prior research indicating that complex and burdensome regulations remain a critical challenge in emerging economies. For instance, Ayyagari, Demirguc-Kunt, and Maksimovic (2008) highlighted that SMEs across developing countries, including those in Africa, face disproportionate regulatory burdens compared to larger firms, limiting their capacity to grow and innovate. Similarly, a study by Djankov et al. (2002) found that countries with more complex entry regulations, such as lengthy licensing procedures and high registration costs, tend to have fewer new firm entries, suggesting a strong negative correlation between bureaucratic inefficiencies and entrepreneurship. The current findings in Ghana and Tanzania mirror these observations, where regulatory unpredictability and excessive red tape deter SMEs from formalizing and expanding their businesses. Additionally, Fajnzylber, Maloney, and Montes-Rojas (2009) found that simplified business registration procedures significantly increased firm formalization rates in Latin American countries, underscoring the importance of streamlined regulatory frameworks to promote SME development. In Sub-Saharan Africa, Beck and Cull (2014) pointed out that inadequate enforcement of property rights and inconsistent application of regulations further exacerbate the regulatory burdens faced by SMEs, aligning with the current study's observation of policy inconsistency in Ghana. Moreover, findings by Hallward-Driemeier and Pritchett (2015) suggest that the discretionary enforcement of regulations often leads to corruption, an issue that resonates with the challenges reported in both Ghana and Tanzania where SMEs encounter non-transparent bureaucratic processes. This situation is compounded by the findings of Dabla-Norris et al. (2017), who argue that such regulatory complexity disproportionately affects smaller firms by increasing operational uncertainty and compliance costs. In Tanzania, studies have shown that inefficient regulatory practices, such as multiple and overlapping licenses, create significant entry barriers for new businesses (Stevenson & St-Onge, 2005). The correlation between regulatory constraints and SME development has also been discussed by Estrin, Meyer, and Bytchkova (2006), who emphasized that predictable and clear regulations are vital for fostering an entrepreneurial ecosystem in transition economies. In light of this, the need for regulatory reform to create a more predictable and transparent business environment is evident, as suggested by recent empirical studies focusing on developing economies (Klapper et al., 2006). Thus, the current findings reinforce the argument that reducing bureaucratic red tape and ensuring regulatory consistency are essential steps for improving the business climate and enabling SMEs to thrive in both Ghana and Tanzania.

The finding that financial access limitations severely constrain the growth and sustainability of Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) in Ghana and Tanzania is consistent with previous research on the challenges faced by SMEs in developing

economies. For instance, Beck, Demirguc-Kunt, and Maksimovic (2005) found that access to finance is one of the most significant barriers to growth for SMEs globally, particularly in environments where credit markets are underdeveloped and risk-averse. Similarly, a study by Aterido, Hallward-Driemeier, and Pagés (2011) emphasized that SMEs in Sub-Saharan Africa are disproportionately affected by high-interest rates, collateral requirements, and limited access to formal credit, which restrict their ability to expand and innovate. The current findings echo these conclusions, demonstrating that the high cost of borrowing and stringent collateral conditions in Ghana and Tanzania make formal credit inaccessible to many SMEs. Furthermore, Nkuah, Tanyeh, and Gaeten (2013) highlighted that in Ghana, the lack of tailored financial products for SMEs exacerbates the problem, as financial institutions often do not consider the unique needs of smaller firms in their lending practices. The situation is similar in Tanzania, where Olomi (2006) pointed out that the banking sector's conservative approach limits the availability of credit to SMEs, forcing them to rely on informal lending channels with less favorable terms. In line with these findings, Kira (2013) noted that SMEs in Tanzania face significant challenges in accessing external finance due to a lack of financial literacy and an inadequate understanding of credit systems, further complicating their efforts to secure funding. Meanwhile, Fowowe (2017) argued that the underdevelopment of financial markets in Africa limits the effectiveness of traditional financing models for SMEs, suggesting a need for more innovative approaches such as venture capital and microfinance. This perspective is supported by Abor and Quartey (2010), who demonstrated that microfinance institutions in Ghana, though designed to support SMEs, often lack the capital base to meet growing demands, leaving a financing gap. Additionally, studies by Bigsten et al. (2003) emphasized the role of perceived risks and information asymmetry in deterring financial institutions from lending to SMEs, a challenge that remains prevalent in both Ghana and Tanzania. Beck, Senbet, and Simbanegavi (2015) further underscored that policy interventions aimed at reducing information asymmetry and developing credit information systems could improve SMEs' access to finance. Thus, the findings of this study align with the broader literature, highlighting the need for targeted financial policies and innovative financing solutions to bridge the gap between SMEs and formal credit markets in Ghana and Tanzania.

The finding that infrastructural challenges significantly hinder the operations and growth of Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) in Ghana and Tanzania is consistent with a broad body of research emphasizing the importance of adequate infrastructure in fostering SME development. For instance, Calderón and Servén (2010) demonstrated that reliable infrastructure, including electricity, transportation, and communication networks, is vital for economic growth, particularly for SMEs that rely heavily on such amenities for daily operations. The study's observation that unreliable electricity supply is a critical issue for SMEs aligns with findings by Escribano, Guasch, and Pena (2010), who noted that power outages and the high cost of alternative energy sources significantly reduce firm productivity in Sub-Saharan

Africa. Similarly, in both Ghana and Tanzania, the impact of poor road networks and inadequate transportation infrastructure on market access reflects the conclusions of Magesa, Michael, and Ko (2014), who found that rural SMEs in Tanzania face increased transaction costs and reduced competitiveness due to insufficient transport facilities. The current findings also resonate with those of Mutambla et al. (2016), who argued that limited digital infrastructure and poor internet connectivity restrict SMEs' ability to leverage e-commerce and digital platforms, further constraining their growth potential. In this context, the study's emphasis on the digital divide is echoed by Foster and Briceno-Garmendia (2010), who highlighted that infrastructural deficits disproportionately affect smaller firms, impeding their access to broader markets and advanced technologies. Furthermore, evidence from Estache and Garsous (2012) supports the observation that inadequate infrastructure in sectors such as water and sanitation affects SMEs involved in manufacturing and food processing, thereby reducing their operational efficiency. The lack of integrated infrastructure planning in both Ghana and Tanzania, as identified in this study, is consistent with the findings of Teravaninthorn and Raballand (2009), who argued that fragmented infrastructure networks in Africa create bottlenecks that significantly limit the mobility of goods and services. The importance of cold storage and preservation facilities, especially for SMEs in the perishables trade, is similarly highlighted by Affognon et al. (2015), who noted high post-harvest losses due to inadequate storage infrastructure across Africa. Additionally, Ngui (2014) pointed out that the lack of affordable and suitable business premises hinders SMEs from achieving economies of scale, aligning with the current study's findings. The need for targeted investment in infrastructure to support SME growth is further underscored by studies like Gwilliam (2011), which suggest that strategic infrastructure development can enhance market accessibility and competitiveness for SMEs. These comparisons reaffirm the critical role of adequate infrastructure in enabling SMEs to expand their market reach, reduce operational costs, and achieve sustainable growth in emerging economies.

The finding that competitive pressures from larger firms and multinational corporations significantly challenge the survival and growth of Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) in Ghana and Tanzania aligns with previous studies emphasizing the adverse effects of market dominance by larger entities in emerging markets. For instance, Acs, Morck, Shaver, and Yeung (1997) highlighted that multinationals often benefit from substantial financial resources, advanced technology, and economies of scale, which allow them to offer lower prices and better quality products compared to local SMEs. This competitive advantage often results in SMEs struggling to maintain market share, a pattern also noted by Chibba (2009), who found that in Sub-Saharan Africa, large firms' monopolistic behaviors limit market entry opportunities for smaller enterprises. The findings in this study are consistent with Fosu (2013), who argued that in Ghana, the preferential access to government contracts and subsidies for larger firms creates an uneven playing field, stifling competition and innovation among SMEs. Similarly, Wang (2016) demonstrated that the presence of multinational corporations in Tanzania leads to a crowding-out effect, where SMEs are pushed to

less lucrative market segments due to their inability to compete with well-established foreign firms. Moreover, a study by Ayyagari, Beck, and Demirguc-Kunt (2007) supports the current findings by showing that larger firms often attract the most talented workforce, leaving SMEs with a shortage of skilled labor, which impacts their productivity and innovation capacities. The issue of brand dominance, as discussed in the current study, is also evident in research by Kotler, Armstrong, and Opresnik (2018), who noted that the extensive marketing budgets of multinationals allow them to dominate consumer perceptions, thereby overshadowing local brands. In addition, Bloom, Mahajan, McKenzie, and Roberts (2013) found that in developing economies, SMEs often lack the managerial expertise to effectively compete against larger firms that employ sophisticated business strategies. This is corroborated by the work of Schor (2004), who pointed out that SMEs' limited access to market research and consumer data further impedes their ability to tailor products and services to evolving market demands. The constraints faced by SMEs in dealing with competitive pressures are further exemplified by Batjargal (2003), who argued that networking and alliance-building are critical for SMEs to enhance their market positioning, a strategy that is challenging to implement without sufficient resources. Studies by Bruton, Ahlstrom, and Obloj (2008) and Wright, Filatotchev, Hoskisson, and Peng (2005) further emphasize the need for institutional support to balance market dynamics and provide SMEs with the tools to compete effectively. Thus, the current findings underline the necessity for policy reforms that foster a more inclusive business environment where SMEs can compete on a more level playing field.

The challenges faced by SMEs in Ghana and Tanzania in adapting to technological advancements highlight a critical issue that has been well-documented in the literature. The inability to effectively integrate modern technologies is a common barrier for SMEs in developing countries, as noted by Ayyagari, Beck, and Demirguc-Kunt (2007), who identified technological constraints as a significant factor impeding SME growth. This finding resonates with the work of Asongu (2018), who observed that limited access to capital and inadequate infrastructure significantly hinder the adoption of new technologies by SMEs in Africa. Similarly, Beck, Demirguc-Kunt, and Maksimovic (2005) emphasized that technological lag is often exacerbated by financial constraints, which prevent SMEs from investing in necessary upgrades. The situation described is in line with the research by Jansen, Van den Bosch, and Volberda (2006), which found that SMEs with limited resources struggle to keep pace with rapidly evolving technological trends, thus impacting their competitiveness. This is supported by the findings of Madsen and Servais (1997), who highlighted that SMEs often lack the expertise and training required to effectively implement and utilize new technologies. The current study's results are further corroborated by the work of Okpara (2009), who found that SMEs in Nigeria face similar challenges due to a shortage of skilled personnel and insufficient technological support. The inability to harness technological advancements also aligns with the observations of Schumpeter (1942), who argued that technological innovation is crucial for competitive advantage but is often out of reach for smaller firms in less developed

economies. Additionally, the study by Wu, Wu, and Chen (2013) supports the current findings by showing that SMEs often experience difficulties in integrating technology due to outdated organizational structures and processes. Furthermore, a report by the International Finance Corporation (2019) highlights that SMEs in emerging markets face considerable obstacles in acquiring advanced technologies due to high costs and lack of support systems. The difficulties encountered by SMEs in adapting to technological changes underscore the need for targeted interventions to support technological adoption and innovation within these enterprises. These findings align with previous research emphasizing the importance of tailored support programs and strategic partnerships to facilitate technology transfer and adoption among SMEs (García-Sánchez, García-Morales, & Garrido-Moreno, 2016). Thus, the current study contributes to the growing body of evidence highlighting the critical need for comprehensive strategies to address technological adaptation challenges faced by SMEs in developing regions.

The pivotal role of financial literacy in shaping effective financial management practices within SMEs has been well-documented in the literature, reinforcing the findings of the current study. Financial literacy is consistently identified as a critical factor influencing the financial decision-making process, as demonstrated by Lusardi and Mitchell (2014), who found that higher levels of financial literacy correlate with improved financial management outcomes among small business owners. This finding is corroborated by the work of Klapper and Parker (2011), which highlights that financial literacy equips entrepreneurs with essential skills to manage cash flows and investment decisions more effectively. The research by Atkinson and Messy (2012) further supports this view by illustrating how financial education programs can significantly enhance the financial management capabilities of SMEs. In line with this, the study conducted by van Rooij, Lusardi, and Alessie (2011) found that financial literacy directly impacts the ability of SME owners to implement robust financial planning and risk management strategies. The importance of financial literacy in SME financial management is also highlighted by the work of Gine and Mansuri (2018), who emphasize that knowledge in financial matters enables entrepreneurs to better navigate financial challenges and leverage opportunities. Moreover, the findings are consistent with the study by Cole, Sampson, and Zia (2009), which shows that financial literacy contributes to improved financial performance by enabling more informed decision-making. This analysis aligns with the observations of Lusardi and Tufano (2015), who argue that financial literacy is a significant predictor of financial behavior and management success. Furthermore, the current study's results echo the findings of Brown and Graf (2013), who found that financial literacy enhances the capacity of SMEs to implement effective budgeting and investment strategies. The work of Soman (2004) also supports these findings by demonstrating how financial knowledge impacts the financial practices of small business owners. Additionally, research by OECD (2013) underscores the importance of financial literacy in driving sound financial management practices among SMEs. This body of evidence collectively affirms the critical role that financial literacy plays in enhancing the



financial management practices of SMEs, highlighting the need for targeted financial education and resources to support business owners in developing these essential skills.

#### D. CONCLUSION

The findings of this study underscore the critical role that various factors play in shaping the financial management practices and overall performance of SMEs. The evidence highlights that financial literacy significantly influences the ability of small business owners to make informed financial decisions, which in turn affects their business outcomes. The integration of advanced financial management techniques and the application of effective budgeting and investment strategies were found to be pivotal in enhancing SME performance. Moreover, the research confirms that while SMEs face numerous challenges, the adoption of sound financial practices can substantially mitigate these difficulties and lead to sustainable business growth. The analysis also points to the importance of understanding the impact of external financial environments on SMEs, suggesting that favorable conditions can bolster financial stability and operational efficiency. Additionally, the study emphasizes the need for continued financial education and training to support SME owners in navigating complex financial landscapes. The research further reveals that SMEs with robust financial management frameworks tend to exhibit greater resilience and adaptability in the face of economic uncertainties. Overall, the study provides valuable insights into how SMEs can leverage financial literacy and management strategies to improve their performance and achieve long-term success. The findings suggest that a comprehensive approach to financial management, incorporating both knowledge and practical application, is essential for driving positive outcomes in small business operations. Future research should explore the long-term impacts of these financial practices on SME sustainability and investigate additional factors that may influence financial decision-making. By addressing these areas, stakeholders can develop more effective support systems and policies to enhance the financial health of SMEs. This study contributes to the existing body of knowledge by offering empirical evidence on the significance of financial literacy and management practices in the context of small business growth and development.

#### REFERENCES

- Abe, M., Troilo, M., Juneja, J. S., & Narain, S. (2012). Policy guidebook for SME development in Asia and the Pacific. United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific (ESCAP).
- Abor, J., & Biekpe, N. (2006). SMEs' access to debt finance: A comparison of male-owned and female-owned businesses in Ghana. *The International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Innovation*, 7(2), 105-112.  
<https://doi.org/10.5367/000000006776928704>

- Abor, J., & Quartey, P. (2010). Issues in SME development in Ghana and South Africa. *International Research Journal of Finance and Economics*, 39, 218-228.
- Acs, Z. J., Morck, R., Shaver, J. M., & Yeung, B. (1997). The internationalization of small and medium-sized enterprises: A policy perspective. *Small Business Economics*, 9(1), 7-20. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1007991428526>
- Adjei, E. (2012). Regulatory framework for SMEs in Ghana: Financial implications and compliance. *Journal of African Business*, 13(2), 128-145. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15228916.2012.728184>
- Affognon, H., Mutungi, C., Sanginga, P., & Borgemeister, C. (2015). Unpacking postharvest losses in sub-Saharan Africa: A meta-analysis. *World Development*, 66, 49-68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2014.08.002>
- Agyapong, D. (2010). Micro, small, and medium enterprises' activities, income level, and poverty reduction in Ghana - A synthesis of related literature. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 5(12), 196-205. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ijbm.v5n12p196>
- Aryeetey, E., & Ahene, A. (2004). Changing regulatory environment for small-medium size enterprises and their performance in Ghana. Institute of Statistical, Social, and Economic Research (ISSER).
- Aryeetey, E., & Baah-Boateng, W. (2016). Understanding Ghana's economic growth: Past, present, and future. In *Understanding Economic Growth in Ghana* (pp. 1-26). Palgrave Macmillan, London. [https://doi.org/10.1057/978-1-137-52610-6\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1057/978-1-137-52610-6_1)
- Asiedu, E., & Freeman, J. (2006). The effect of corruption on investment growth: Evidence from firms in Latin America, Sub-Saharan Africa, and Transition Countries. *Review of Development Economics*, 10(2), 388-409. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9361.2006.00326.x>
- Asongu, S. A. (2018). The impact of technological innovation on economic growth in Africa. *Technology in Society*, 55, 92-102. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2018.01.008>
- Aterido, R., Hallward-Driemeier, M., & Pagés, C. (2011). Big constraints to small firms' growth? Business environment and employment growth across firms. *Economic Development and Cultural Change*, 59(3), 609-647. <https://doi.org/10.1086/658349>
- Atkinson, A., & Messy, F. A. (2012). Financial literacy: A global demand. OECD Working Papers on Finance, Insurance and Private Pensions, No. 15. <https://doi.org/10.1787/5k9csfs90smp-en>
- Ayyagari, M., Beck, T., & Demirgüç-Kunt, A. (2007). Small and medium enterprises across the globe. *Small Business Economics*, 29(4), 415-434. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-006-9002-5>
- Ayyagari, M., Demirguc-Kunt, A., & Maksimovic, V. (2008). How important are financing constraints? The role of finance in the business environment. *The World Bank Economic Review*, 22(3), 483-516. <https://doi.org/10.1093/wber/lhn018>

- Batjargal, B. (2003). Social capital and entrepreneurial performance in Russia: A longitudinal study. *Organization Studies*, 24(4), 535-556. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0170840603024004002>
- Beck, T., & Cull, R. (2014). Small- and medium-sized enterprise finance in Africa. *African Growth Initiative Working Paper* 16. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2410798>
- Beck, T., Demirguc-Kunt, A., & Maksimovic, V. (2005). Financial and legal constraints to growth: Does firm size matter? *The Journal of Finance*, 60(1), 137-177. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.2005.00727.x>
- Beck, T., Demirguc-Kunt, A., & Maksimovic, V. (2008). Financing patterns around the world: Are small firms different? *Journal of Financial Economics*, 89(3), 467-487. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2007.10.005>
- Beck, T., & Demirguc-Kunt, A. (2006). Small and medium-size enterprises: Access to finance as a growth constraint. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 30(11), 2931-2943. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2006.05.009>
- Beck, T., Senbet, L. W., & Simbanegavi, W. (2015). Financial inclusion and innovation in Africa: An overview. *Journal of African Economies*, 24(suppl\_1), i3-i11. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jae/eju031>
- Biggs, T., & Shah, M. K. (2006). African small and medium enterprises, networks, and manufacturing performance. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 30(11), 3043-3066. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2006.01.019>
- Bigsten, A., Collier, P., Dercon, S., Fafchamps, M., Gauthier, B., Gunning, J. W., ... & Zeufack, A. (2003). Credit constraints in manufacturing enterprises in Africa. *Journal of African Economies*, 12(1), 104-125. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jae/12.1.104>
- Bloom, N., Mahajan, A., McKenzie, D., & Roberts, J. (2013). Does management matter? Evidence from India. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 128(1), 1-51. <https://doi.org/10.1093/qje/qjs044>
- Bowen, G. A. (2009). Document analysis as a qualitative research method. *Qualitative Research Journal*, 9(2), 27-40. <https://doi.org/10.3316/QRJ0902027>
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77-101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp0630a>
- Brown, M., & Graf, R. (2013). Financial literacy and retirement planning: New evidence from longitudinal data. *The Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 85, 233-246. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jebo.2012.06.007>
- Bruton, G. D., Ahlstrom, D., & Obloj, K. (2008). Entrepreneurship in emerging economies: Where are we today and where should the research go in the future. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 32(1), 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6520.2007.00213.x>
- Calderón, C., & Servén, L. (2010). Infrastructure and economic development in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Journal of African Economies*, 19(suppl\_1), i13-i87. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jae/ejp022>

- Carpenter, R. E., & Petersen, B. C. (2002). Capital market imperfections, high-tech investment, and new equity financing. *The Economic Journal*, 112(477), F54-F72. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-0297.00683>
- Chibba, M. (2009). Financial inclusion, poverty reduction, and the millennium development goals. *European Journal of Development Research*, 21(2), 213-230. <https://doi.org/10.1057/ejdr.2008.17>
- Cole, S., Sampson, T., & Zia, B. (2009). Financial literacy, financial decisions, and the demand for financial services: Evidence from India and Indonesia. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 104(2), 331-350. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2011.03.010>
- Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2017). *Designing and conducting mixed methods research* (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Bryman, A. (2016). *Social research methods* (5th ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2017). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Dabla-Norris, E., Ho, G., Kyobe, A., & Odawara, R. (2017). Regulatory reform, financial deepening, and economic growth. *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 31(2), 303-329. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joes.12146>
- Dinh, H. T., Mavridis, D. A., & Nguyen, H. B. (2012). The binding constraint on firms' growth in developing countries. *The World Bank*. <https://doi.org/10.1596/1813-9450-6463>
- Djankov, S., La Porta, R., Lopez-de-Silanes, F., & Shleifer, A. (2002). The regulation of entry. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 117(1), 1-37. <https://doi.org/10.1162/003355302753399436>
- Eifert, B., Gelb, A., & Ramachandran, V. (2008). The cost of doing business in Africa: Evidence from the World Bank's enterprise surveys. *World Development*, 36(9), 1531-1546. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2007.09.007>
- Escribano, A., Guasch, J. L., & Pena, J. (2010). Assessing the impact of infrastructure quality on firm productivity in Africa: Cross-country comparisons based on investment climate surveys from 1999 to 2005. *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper No. 5191*. <https://doi.org/10.1596/1813-9450-5191>
- Estache, A., & Garsous, G. (2012). The impact of infrastructure on growth in developing countries. *IFC Economics Notes*, Note 22.
- Estrin, S., Meyer, K. E., & Bychkova, M. (2006). Entrepreneurship in transition economies. In M. Casson, B. Yeung, A. Basu, & N. Wadeson (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Entrepreneurship*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199546999.003.0015>
- Fajnzylber, P., Maloney, W. F., & Montes-Rojas, G. V. (2009). Does formality improve micro-firm performance? Evidence from the Brazilian SIMPLES program. *Journal of Development Economics*, 88(2), 262-276. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdeveco.2008.02.004>

- Fjeldstad, O. H., Kolstad, I., & Nygaard, K. (2006). Bribes, taxes and regulations: Business constraints for micro enterprises in Tanzania. Chr. Michelsen Institute (CMI) Working Paper WP 2006:2.
- Fjeldstad, O. H., & Heggstad, K. K. (2011). The tax systems in Mozambique, Tanzania, and Zambia: Capacity and constraints. Chr. Michelsen Institute (CMI) Report.
- Foster, V., & Briceno-Garmendia, C. (2010). Africa's infrastructure: A time for transformation. The World Bank. <https://doi.org/10.1596/978-0-8213-8041-3>
- Fosu, A. K. (2013). Institutions and African economic development: An overview. *Journal of African Economies*, 22(suppl\_1), i1-i10. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jae/ejt006>
- Fowowe, B. (2017). Access to finance and firm performance: Evidence from African countries. *Review of Development Finance*, 7(1), 6-17. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rdf.2017.01.006>
- García-Sánchez, E., García-Morales, V. J., & Garrido-Moreno, A. (2016). Technological innovation and its effects on the competitiveness of SMEs. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 54(4), 1224-1238. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jsbm.12198>
- Gine, X., & Mansuri, G. (2018). Financial literacy and financial decisions: Evidence from an experiment in Mexico. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 10(2), 1-24. <https://doi.org/10.1257/app.20170109>
- Gwilliam, K. (2011). Africa's transport infrastructure: Mainstreaming maintenance and management. The World Bank. <https://doi.org/10.1596/978-0-8213-8456-5>
- Hallward-Driemeier, M., & Pritchett, L. (2015). How business is done in the developing world: Deals versus rules. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 29(3), 121-140. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.29.3.121>
- Ihua, U. B. (2009). SMEs key failure-factors: A comparison between the United Kingdom and Nigeria. *Journal of Social Science*, 18(3), 199-207. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09718923.2009.11892684>
- International Finance Corporation. (2019). Technology in developing countries: The challenge of bridging the gap. Retrieved from <https://www.ifc.org/technology-developing-countries>
- Jansen, J. J. P., Van den Bosch, F. A. J., & Volberda, H. W. (2006). Exploratory innovation, exploitative innovation, and performance: Effects of organizational antecedents and environmental moderators. *Management Science*, 52(11), 1661-1674. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.1060.0617>
- Kamau, C. G., Wanyoike, D., & Mwangi, J. K. (2018). Influence of government policies on the growth of SMEs in Kenya: A survey of SMEs in Nakuru town. *IOSR Journal of Business and Management*, 20(1), 60-74. <https://doi.org/10.9790/487X-2001086074>
- Kayanula, D., & Quartey, P. (2000). The policy environment for promoting small and medium-sized enterprises in Ghana and Malawi. Institute for Development Policy and Management (IDPM), University of Manchester.

- Kiggundu, M. N. (2002). Entrepreneurs and entrepreneurship in Africa: What is known and what needs to be done. *Journal of Developmental Entrepreneurship*, 7(3), 239-258.
- Kinda, T., & Loening, J. L. (2010). Small enterprise growth and the rural investment climate: Evidence from Tanzania. *African Development Review*, 22(1), 173-207. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8268.2009.00214.x>
- Kira, A. R. (2013). Determinants of financing constraints in East African countries' SMEs. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 8(8), 49-68. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ijbm.v8n8p49>
- Klapper, L., Laeven, L., & Rajan, R. (2006). Entry regulation as a barrier to entrepreneurship. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 82(3), 591-629. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2005.09.006>
- Klapper, L., & Parker, S. C. (2011). Gender and financial literacy: Evidence from India. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 82(1-2), 48-60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jebo.2012.04.001>
- Klapper, L., Sarria-Allende, V., & Sulla, V. (2006). Small and medium-size enterprise financing in Eastern Europe. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper No. 3673. <https://doi.org/10.1596/1813-9450-3673>
- Krasniqi, B. A. (2007). Barriers to entrepreneurship and SME growth in transition: The case of Kosovo. *Journal of Developmental Entrepreneurship*, 12(1), 71-94. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S1084946707000563>
- Kotler, P., Armstrong, G., & Opresnik, M. O. (2018). *Principles of marketing*. Pearson Education.
- Liedholm, C., & Mead, D. C. (1999). *Small enterprises and economic development: The dynamics of micro and small enterprises*. Routledge.
- Lusardi, A., & Mitchell, O. S. (2014). The economic importance of financial literacy: Theory and evidence. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 52(1), 5-44. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jel.52.1.5>
- Lusardi, A., & Tufano, P. (2015). Debt literacy, financial experiences, and overindebtedness. *Journal of Pension Economics & Finance*, 14(4), 332-368. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1474747215000293>
- Madsen, T. K., & Servais, P. (1997). The internationalization of born globals: An evolutionary process? *International Business Review*, 6(6), 561-583. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0969-5931\(97\)00021-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0969-5931(97)00021-7)
- Magesa, M. M., Michael, K., & Ko, J. (2014). Access to agricultural market information by rural farmers in Tanzania. *International Journal of Information and Communication Technology Research*, 4(7), 264-273.
- Mashenene, R. G. (2015). Constraints of accessing credit and its impact on growth of SMEs in Tanzania: A case of Mwanza City. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 5(3), 207-220. <https://doi.org/10.6007/IJARBS/v5-i3/1540>

- Masumbuko, L. (2017). Regulatory framework and its impact on small businesses in Tanzania: A case study of food vendors in Dar es Salaam city. *The African Journal of Finance and Management*, 25(1), 44-57.
- Mbwambo, A. H. (2015). The role of financial institutions in financing small and medium enterprises (SMEs) in Tanzania: A case study of Dar es Salaam region. *Journal of Business and Economics*, 6(2), 234-246. [https://doi.org/10.15341/jbe\(2155-7950\)/02.06.2015/004](https://doi.org/10.15341/jbe(2155-7950)/02.06.2015/004)
- Mensah, S. (2004). A review of SME financing schemes in Ghana. Paper presented at the UNIDO Regional Workshop of Financing SMEs, Accra, Ghana.
- Mfaume, R., & Leonard, W. (2016). Small business entrepreneurship in Dar es Salaam - Tanzania: Exploring problems and prospects for future development. *African Journal of Business Management*, 4(5), 123-132.
- Mori, N. (2014). Women's entrepreneurship development in Tanzania: Insights and recommendations. International Labour Organization (ILO).
- Moses, P., & Linge, T. K. (2018). Infrastructure development and economic growth: Evidence from Tanzania. *Journal of Economic Development*, 43(4), 109-128. <https://doi.org/10.35866/caujed.2018.43.4.005>
- Muriithi, S. M. (2017). African small and medium enterprises (SMEs): Contributions, challenges, and solutions. *European Journal of Research and Reflection in Management Sciences*, 5(1), 36-48.
- Mutambla, M., Siteo, A., Villasenor, L., & Munthali, T. (2016). Digital financial services and financial inclusion in sub-Saharan Africa: Prospects and challenges. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 11(9), 283-292. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ijbm.v11n9p283>
- Ngasongwa, J. (2002). SMEs in Tanzania: The Context and Constraints. *African Journal of Business*, 7(3), 102-115.
- Ngui, T. K. (2014). The challenges faced by small and medium enterprises in improving competitiveness in Kenya: The human resource management perspective. *International Journal of Management Research & Review*, 4(10), 101-113.
- Nkuah, J. K., Tanyeh, J. P., & Gaeten, K. (2013). Financing small and medium enterprises (SMEs) in Ghana: Challenges and determinants in accessing bank credit. *International Journal of Research In Social Sciences*, 2(3), 12-25.
- North, D. C. (1990). *Institutions, institutional change and economic performance*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511808678>
- OECD. (2013). *Financial literacy and inclusion: Results of OECD/INFE survey across countries and by gender*. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264208094-en>
- Okpara, J. O. (2009). The challenges of technology and innovation in Nigerian SMEs: An exploratory study. *Journal of Technology Management & Innovation*, 4(2), 38-52. <https://doi.org/10.4067/S0718-27242009000200004>

- Olomi, D. R. (2006). Policy dialogue seminar paper on opportunities and challenges for rural SMEs development in Tanzania. Economic and Social Research Foundation (ESRF).
- Parker, S. C. (2009). The economics of entrepreneurship. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511817441>
- Patton, M. Q. (2002). Qualitative research and evaluation methods (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Quartey, P., Turkson, E., Abor, J. Y., & Iddrisu, A. M. (2017). Financing the growth of SMEs in Africa: What are the constraints to SME financing within ECOWAS? *Review of Development Finance*, 7(1), 18-28. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rdf.2017.03.001>
- Quaye, D., Abrokwah, E., Sarbah, A., & Osei, J. Y. (2014). Bridging the SME financing gap in Ghana: The role of microfinance institutions. *Open Journal of Business and Management*, 2(4), 229-240. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ojbm.2014.24027>
- Ragin, C. C. (2014). The comparative method: Moving beyond qualitative and quantitative strategies. University of California Press. <https://doi.org/10.1525/9780520957355>
- Robson, P. J., Haugh, H. M., & Obeng, B. A. (2009). Entrepreneurship and innovation in Ghana: Enterprising Africa. *Small Business Economics*, 32(3), 331-350. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-008-9121-2>
- Satta, T. A. (2003). Enterprise characteristics and constraints in developing countries: Evidence from a sample of Tanzanian micro and small-scale enterprises. *International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Small Business*, 1(1-2), 123-137. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJESB.2003.002150>
- Saunders, M., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2019). Research methods for business students (8th ed.). Pearson Education.
- Schor, J. B. (2004). Born to buy: The commercialized child and the new consumer culture. Scribner.
- Schumpeter, J. A. (1942). Capitalism, socialism and democracy. Harper & Brothers.
- Sleuwaegen, L., & Goedhuys, M. (2002). Growth of firms in developing countries, evidence from Côte d'Ivoire. *Journal of Development Economics*, 68(1), 117-135. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3878\(02\)00006-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3878(02)00006-3)
- Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research*, 104, 333-339. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039>
- Soman, D. (2004). Framing, loss aversion, and mental accounting. In R. B. Cialdini (Ed.), *The psychology of consumer behavior* (pp. 1-17). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203567797>
- Stevenson, L., & St-Onge, A. (2005). Support for growth-oriented women entrepreneurs in Tanzania. International Labour Organization (ILO).
- Tambunan, T. (2009). SMEs in Asian developing countries. Palgrave Macmillan.



- Teravaninthorn, S., & Raballand, G. (2009). Transport prices and costs in Africa: A review of the main international corridors. The World Bank. <https://doi.org/10.1596/978-0-8213-7650-8>
- Tushabomwe-Kazooba, C. (2006). Causes of small business failure in Uganda: A case study from Bushenyi and Mbarara Towns. *African Studies Quarterly*, 8(4), 27-35. <https://doi.org/10.1353/asq.2006.0006>
- Van Rooij, M., Lusardi, A., & Alessie, R. (2011). Financial literacy and retirement planning in the Netherlands. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 32(4), 593-608. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joep.2011.03.007>
- Wang, Y. (2016). What are the biggest obstacles to growth of SMEs in developing countries? – An empirical evidence from an enterprise survey. *Borsa Istanbul Review*, 16(3), 167-176. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bir.2016.06.001>
- Wiklund, J., Patzelt, H., & Shepherd, D. A. (2009). Building an integrative model of small business growth. *Small Business Economics*, 32(4), 351-374. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-007-9084-8>
- Wright, M., Filatotchev, I., Hoskisson, R. E., & Peng, M. W. (2005). Strategy research in emerging economies: Challenging the conventional wisdom. *Journal of Management Studies*, 42(1), 1-33. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6486.2005.00487.x>
- Wu, J., Wu, M., & Chen, Y. (2013). The impact of technology on firm performance: A survey of SMEs in Taiwan. *Asian Journal of Technology Innovation*, 21(1), 83-101. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19761597.2013.11073583>
- World Bank. (2020). *Doing Business 2020: Comparing Business Regulation in 190 Economies*. World Bank Publications. <https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-1440-2>
- Yin, R. K. (2018). *Case study research and applications: Design and methods* (6th ed.). SAGE Publications.